

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/449,002 | 11/24/1999 | JOHN P. FALLON | 1166/58111 | 5698 |
| 7 | 7590 04/21/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| RICHARD F. JAWORSKI | | | SMITH, RUTH S | |
| IVAN S. KAV | RUKOV | | | _ |
| COOPER & DUNHAM L.L.P. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 23RD FLOOR | | | 3737 | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 11036 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 09/449,002 | FALLON ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ruth S. Smith | 3737 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| •• | |
|---|--|
| THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL | OWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Al this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affid places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in co a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must time periods: | avit, or other evidence, which mpliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of | date of the final rejection. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FTWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origins set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | the fee. The appropriate extension fee ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be fil filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 AMENDMENTS | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, v (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTI | vill <u>not</u> be entered because E below); |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially red appeal; and/or | ucing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reject | cted claims. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Com | npliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, ti non-allowable claim(s). | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 18-27. | be entered and an explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t or other evidence is necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the centered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See | l and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after en REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in | condition for allowance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No. 13. Other: | o(s). |
| 13. | Just Lill |
| | Ruth S. Smith |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3737

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed changes to claim 1 raises new issues under 35 USC 112. Applicant's arguments are noted, however, the examiner maintains the previous rejections set forth in the office action mailed 1/11/06.